# **Jessey Choo (Rutgers University)**

## The Transcription of

"Muzhi of the Late [Lady] Zhangsun, the Wife of Wang Meichang, the Late Prefect of Runzhou of the Great Zhou"

大周故潤州刺史王美暢夫人故長孫氏墓志

## Legend:

[X] indicates an empty space

[?] indicates an illegible character

### 【蓋】大周故潤州刺2史王美暢夫人故長孫氏墓誌

## 【誌文】

1

[XXXXXX]王美暢夫人長孫氏墓志銘[X]並序[XXXXXX]

2

[X]人3長孫氏河南郡人也七族疏派十姓分4源茂緒洪宗光輝於5[圖]6

[史]7通槐烈棘昭絢於縑[緗]8曾祖敞隋金紫光祿大夫宗正9卿10平原郡

開國公祖義[常?]11唐通議大夫華容郡公[?]12名高去病或聲重隱之[乍]13

<sup>1</sup> This muzhi has several varying transcriptions. My transcription is a collation of the one in the Tangdai muzhi huibian 唐代墓誌彙編 [henceforth TMH], edited by Zhou Shaoliang 周紹良 and Zhao Chao 趙超, (Shanghai: Shanghai guji chubanshe, 1992,) Chang'an 長安 054, pp. 1029-31) and the one in Tang wen shiyi [henceforth TWSY; 18.10556-1]. The latter is part of the Zhonghua shuju edition of the Quan Tang wen [henceforth QTW] (Beijing: Zhonghua shuju, 1983)]. I moreover verify it against the digital reproductions of two different rubbings currently in circulation. Shi Rui generously provided Rubbing A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 刾 in the inscription.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$   $\equiv$  in the inscription. It is one of the characters created by Empress Wu Zetian (r. 690-705).

<sup>4 5</sup> in the inscription.

<sup>5</sup> 柞 in the inscription.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Neither rubbing captures the character since it is on the edge where the slab appears to have chipped off. Both TMH and TWSY have it as  $\blacksquare$ .

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  Neither rubbing captures the character. The original corner of the slab appears to have broken off. Both *TMH* and *TWSY* have it as  $\,\pm$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The character is chipped and illegible in both rubbings. Both *TMH* and *TWSY* have it as 維.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 正 in the inscription.

<sup>10</sup> 卿 in the inscription.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The character is chipped and illegible in both rubbings. Both *TMH* and *TWSY* have it as 常. Zhangsun Yichang does not appear in the "Genealogical Tables of Chief Ministers" in the *Xin Tang shu*, but there is a Zhangsun Yizhuang 義莊; see *XTS* 72.2406-2417.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The character is chipped and illegible in both rubbings. It is designated as illegible in TWSY, but

5

酌貪泉[X]論兵法父朝散大夫懷州河內令瀛州司馬貳職十城道

邇14於展驥弦15歌百里化孚於馴翟夫人蘭畹傳芳瓊田瀉潤稟三靈

[之]16淳17粹挺18四德之英姿敬慎禮儀允恭箴訓初19算之年適於太原王氏

8

三周既御百兩言歸20琴瑟既諧條枚是則菊銘[椒]21頌燭耀於心田鹓

9

綺鶴紋發揮於[意匠]22通閨仰其柔範列閫挹其清猷初23別[XXXX]

10

敕24拜成安郡君尋除懷德郡君以德昇榮從夫錫秩既同石[節]25更[似]26

11

[?]27鄉聖曆元年王府君止坐28梴灾奠楹俄及夫人[柏]29舟靡託葛藟無

12

[依]30志殞31形存景心誓32既而浮休迴薄幹運推遷與33善[從]34欺俄嬰沉痼

transcribed as 或 in TMH.

- <sup>13</sup> The character is illegible in rubbing A and barely illegible in rubbing B. Both TMH and TWSY have it as 乍.
- <sup>14</sup> The character appears to be  $ilde{w}$  in rubbing A and is illegible in rubbing B. Both *TMH* and *TWSY* have it as 光.
- 15 絃 in the inscription.
- <sup>16</sup> The character is illegible in both rubbings. Both *TWSY* and *TMH* have it as  $\angle$ .
- <sup>17</sup> The character is illegible in rubbing A but legible in rubbing B.
- <sup>18</sup> 梃 in the inscription.
- 19 画 in the inscription.
- <sup>20</sup> 隔in the inscription.
- <sup>21</sup> The character is illegible in both rubbings. Both *TWSY* and *TMH* have it as 椒.
- $^{22}$  The slab is chipped and the character is illegible in both rubbings. Both *TWSY* and *TMH* have it as 意匠.
- 24 勑 in the inscription.
- The slab is chipped and the character is illegible in both rubbings. Both TWSY and TMH have it as  $\mathfrak{F}$ .
- <sup>26</sup> The character is illegible in the rubbings. Both TWSY and TMH have it as 4%.
- Neither rubbing captures the character since it is on the edge where the slab appears to have chipped off. It is marked as illegible in TWSY, but transcribed as  $\mathfrak{Z}$  in TMH.
- <sup>28</sup> 坐 in the inscription.
- <sup>29</sup> The character is illegible in rubbing A, being located where the slab cracked. It is also illegible in rubbing B because of chipping. Both *TWSY* and *TMH* have it as 柏.
- 30 The character is illegible in both rubbings. Both *TWSY* and *TMH* have it as 依.
- 31 預 in the inscription.
- 33 与 in the inscription
- $^{34}$  The character is illegible in rubbing A, being located where the slab cracked. It is also illegible in rubbing B because of chipping. In the *TWSY* transcription it is identified as 從, while the *TMH* transcription identifies it as 徒. I follow the *TWSY* here.

13

[瓊]<sup>35</sup>田靈草重遇無期西域胡香再逢無日嗚呼哀哉以大足元年六 14

[月]<sup>36</sup>廿六日薨于汝州私第春秋五十有四夫人宿植得本深<sup>37</sup>悟法門 15

捨離蓋38纏39超出愛網以為合葬40非古何必41同墳乃遺令於洛州合宮 16

縣界龍門山寺側為空以安神插42子昕等孝窮地43義禮極天44經45思切 17

風枝哀纏46霜露從47命則情所未忍違教則心用荒然乃詢訪通人敬48 18

遵遺訓遂以長安三年[XXXXX]梯山鑿道架險穿空[構]49石崇[其]50 19

基51 斮絮陳其隙與52天53地54而長固等55靈56光而巋57然乃為銘曰[XXXX]

20

[X]矣洪緒悠哉58霸圖遼河建國靈59武開都山川演貺人物60英謨(其一)[誕]61

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> The character is illegible in the rubbings. Both *TWSY* and *TMH* have it as 瓊.

The character is illegible in the rubbings. Both TWSY and TMH have it as  $\beta$ .

<sup>37</sup> 滨 in the inscription.

<sup>38</sup> 盖 in the inscription.

<sup>39</sup> 緾 in the inscription.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> 葖 in the inscription.

 $<sup>^{43}</sup>$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$  in the inscription. It is a character created by Empress Wu.

in the inscription.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> 経 in the inscription.

<sup>46</sup> *鍾* in the inscription.

<sup>47</sup> 徔 in the inscription.

 $<sup>^{48}</sup>$  The character is illegible in rubbing A but legible in rubbing B as 敬.

 $<sup>^{50}\,</sup>$  The character is illegible in rubbing A and barely legible in rubbing B. Both TWSY and TMH have it as  $\,\pm$  .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> The character is illegible in rubbing A but very legible in rubbing B as 基.

<sup>52</sup> 与 in the inscription.

in the inscription.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> 埊 in the inscription.

<sup>56</sup> 霊 in the inscription.

<sup>57</sup> 幕 in the inscription.

<sup>58</sup> 裁 in the inscription.

<sup>59</sup> 霊 in the inscription.

<sup>60</sup> 觞 in the inscription.

<sup>61</sup> The character is illegible in the rubbings. Both TWSY and TMH have it as 誕.

21

斯令德作嬪君子聲茂葛覃62道超江汜調諧琴瑟譽芳蘭芷有[?]63[淑]64 22

慎無刑慍善(其二)良人捐背樛<sup>65</sup>枝靡託遺象窈冥<sup>66</sup>堂隅簫索閱水波[逝]<sup>67</sup> 23

虞泉景薄風勁蘭摧霜霏桂落(其三)寒驚嶺北日慘68山西靈轜動駕[X]69 24

輓凝悽松摧露泣柏70帳風啼芳徽無泯天地71俱齊(其四)[XXXXXX]

<sup>62</sup> The character is illegible in rubbing A but legible in rubbing B as 覃.

<sup>65</sup> 樏 in the inscription.

<sup>66</sup> 冥 in the inscription.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> The character is chipped off in the rubbings. Both TWSY and TMH have it as  $\mathfrak{U}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> There is no character in that space in the rubbings, although both TMH and TWSY fill it with  $\bar{\chi}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> 栢 in the inscription.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> 而基 in the inscription.